

03/23/2005 15:22 6100256785

CYBERSOFT

PAGE 02/08

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re patent

appln. of: CyberSoft, Inc.

Serial No.: 09/838,979

Filed: April 19, 2001

For: SOFTWARE VIRUS DETECTION METHODS AND APPARATUS

Art Unit: 2135

Examiner: Klimach, Paula W.

Atty Doc. No.: 16-00

---

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.131**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, Peter V. Radatti, do hereby declare the following:

- 1) I am the named inventor of the above- identified patent application.
- 2) I have read and understand the present application including the claims as originally filed.
- 3) I have read and understand the Office Action dated September 23, 2004 in connection with the present application.
- 4) In the office action, claims 1-4, and 6 stand as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

03/23/2005 15:22 6108256785

CYBERSOFT

PAGE 03/08

being unpatentable over Jordan, US Patent Publication No. 2002/0073323, filed July 14, 2001 and claiming priority to Provisional Application No. 60/218,333, filed July 14, 2000 ("Jordan.")

- 5) Claims 5, 7-12 stand as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(1) as being unpatentable over Jordan as applied to claim 1 and 4, and further in view of Shieh, et. al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,278,901) filed April 30, 1992 (Shieh.)
- 6) I have read and understand the Jordan and Shieh references, and the corresponding rejections based on those references.
- 7) The inventions set forth in claims 1-12 of the present application were both conceived and reduced to practice in this country or in a NAFTA or WTO member country at least as early as December 15, 1999, which is well before the priority date of Jordan (July 14, 2000.)
- 8) It should also be noted that there was due diligence from conception to the subsequent reduction to practice.
- 9) Conception and reduction to practice of the invention according to those claims is illustrated in Exhibit 1 attached hereto. Exhibit 1 was prepared by me, at a discussion with counsel on December 15, 1999.

10) The conception included a software virus detection method, comprising the steps of:

- interpreting code;
- writing the results of said interpretation;
- scanning the results of said interpretation for the presence of proscribed code.

(Claim 1.)

11) The conception included a method as in claim 1 wherein the step of scanning further comprising a first scanning step for the presence of code of interest. (Claim 2.)

12) The conception included a method as in claim 2 wherein the first scanning step for the presence of code of interest further comprises scanning for a file open command or a file modify command. (Claim 3.)

13) The conception included a method as in claim 2 wherein the step of scanning further comprising a second scanning step for the presence of proscribed code of interest. (Claim 4.)

14) The conception included a method as in claim 4 wherein the second scanning step for the presence of proscribed code of interest further comprises scanning for viral code or viral patterns. (Claim 5.)

15) The conception also included a software virus detection article of manufacture comprising:

- a computer readable medium; and,
- a table of interpreted results, comprising interpreted proscribed code. (Claim 6.)

16) The conception also included a software virus detection apparatus, comprising:

- a code interpreter;
- a pattern analyzer;
- a results evaluator;
- a reporter;

whereby, after proscribed code is interpreted by said interpreter and results generated, those results are reviewed by said pattern analyzer for the presence of proscribed code,

03/23/2005 15:22 6108256785

CYBERSOFT

PAGE 05/08

and results reported to said results evaluator, and from said results evaluator to a reporter. (Claim 7.)

17) The conception also included an apparatus as in claim 7 wherein said code interpreter further reviews said code for the presence of code of interest. (Claim 8.)

18) The conception also included an apparatus as in claim 8 wherein said code interpreter further reviews said code for the presence of a file open command or a file modify command. (Claim 9.)

19) The conception also included an apparatus as in claim 9 wherein said pattern analyzer further reviews said code for the presence of code of interest. (Claim 10.)

20) The conception also included an apparatus as in claim 10 wherein said pattern analyzer further reviews said code for the presence of proscribed code. (Claim 11.)

21) The conception also included an apparatus as in claim 11 wherein said pattern analyzer further reviews said code for the presence of viral code or viral patterns. (Claim 12.)

22) Due diligence was exercised from the conception date, which was at least as early as December 15, 1999 and prior to the priority dates of Jordan, to the reduction to practice of the methods and apparatus in accordance with claims 1-12, which was at least as early as the filing date of the present application.

The undersigned declares that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and thus such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date: 3-23-2005

  
Peter V. Radatti

03/23/2005 15:22

6100256785

CYBERSOFT




PAGE 06/08

# GETE'S MACRO WHITEBOARD

12/15/98

globmacro\$ = "NORM"

globmacro\$ = globmacro\$ + "AL.DOT"



03/23/2005 15:22 6108256785

CYBERSOFT

PAGE 07/08

RESET MACRO 12/15/99

SET Z = A  
SET X = B  
SET X = C

SET MAC = \$Z + \$Y + \$X

03/23/2005 15:22

6108256785

CYBERSOFT

PAGE 08/08

